

ON COMPACTNESS AND OPTIMALITY OF STOPPING TIMES

G. A. Edgar<sup>\*</sup>, A. Millet and L. Sucheston<sup>\*\*</sup>

Let  $B$  be a Banach space with norm  $\| \cdot \|$ . Suppose that we are allowed to view successively as many terms as we please of a sequence of  $B$ -valued random variables  $X_n$ . We stop viewing at a time  $n$  of our choice, and receive payoff  $X_n$ . Is there a non-anticipative stopping rule  $\sigma$  which would maximize a continuous convex function  $\phi$  of the expected value of  $X_n$ ? We allow stopping rules (= times)  $\tau$  taking on the value  $\infty$ , and call  $\sigma$  optimal if the  $\phi$ -value

$$V_\phi = \sup_\tau \phi[E(X_\tau)]$$

is achieved for  $\sigma$ . One interesting case is  $X_n = \frac{1}{n}(Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n)$ , where the  $B$ -valued process  $(Y_n)$  is stationary, and  $\phi$  is the norm  $\| \cdot \|$ , or, more generally, the distance from a fixed convex set in  $B$ . We show that if  $E(\|Y_1\| \log^+ \|Y_1\|) < \infty$ , then an optimal  $\sigma$  exists. If the  $Y_n$  are independent (which implies that  $X_n$  is a descending martingale),  $\phi$  is sublinear, and  $E(\|Y_1\|^p) < \infty$  for some  $p > 1$ , then  $\sigma$  is finite a.s. If  $Y_n$  are real-valued, independent and identically distributed, and  $E(|Y_1| \log^+ |Y_1|) = \infty$ , then there exists a stopping time  $\sigma$  such that  $E(|X_\sigma|) = \infty$  (B. Davis [7], and B. J. McCabe and L. A. Shepp [18]). This result is generalized here to Banach spaces, and the independence assumption is replaced by a weaker condition (I).

---

\* The research of this author is supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant MCS-8003078.

\*\* The research of this author is supported by the National Science Foundation, Grant MCS-8005395.

Except for the condition (I), our results are known in the real-valued case: see in particular D. Siegmund [24], Chow-Robbins-Siegmund [6], B. Davis [8], and M. Klass [14]. The article of Klass is a complete and self-contained presentation of the subject. It seems however that the real proofs do not extend; in particular, there are no analogues of admissible [6] (= regular [14]) stopping times, or of the Snell stopping time (see Snell [25], or Neveu [21], p.124). Instead, we apply a recent important theorem of Baxter-Chacon [1]: any sequence of stopping times  $\tau_n$  (chosen here so that  $\phi[E(X_{\tau_n})] \rightarrow V_\phi$ ) admits a subsequence, still denoted  $\tau_n$ , which converges to a randomized stopping time  $\gamma$  in the Baxter-Chacon topology. We show that under proper boundedness assumptions this implies that  $EX_{\tau_n} \rightarrow EX_\gamma$ , hence  $\gamma$  is optimal. To "derandomize", we take a closer look at the set of randomized stopping times, noting that the non-random stopping times are exactly its extreme points. As an application, one proves the existence of a non-random optimal stopping time.

Section 1 discusses the Baxter-Chacon topology and extreme points. In Section 2 we prove a general theorem about the existence of optimal stopping times, and apply it. Section 3 considers the case when  $E(\|Y_1\| \log^+ \|Y_1\|) = \infty$ . A discussion of the continuous parameter case - the original setting of the Baxter-Chacon article - is given in Section 4.

1. Compactness and extreme points of stopping times. The following notation will be used throughout the paper.  $\mathbb{R}$  is the set of real numbers;  $\mathbb{N} = \{1,2,3,\dots\}$  has its discrete topology;  $\overline{\mathbb{N}} = \{1,2,3,\dots,\infty\}$  is the one-point compactification of  $\mathbb{N}$ ;  $\mathcal{g}$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $[0,1]$ ;  $\lambda$  is Lebesgue measure on  $B$ . If  $S$  is a topological space, then  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  denotes the set of bounded continuous functions  $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space, and let  $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an increasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ . By convention, we will write  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$  for the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{F}_n$ . A sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of random variables is said to be adapted to the sequence  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$  iff  $X_n$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable for all  $n$ . This situation frequently occurs in the reverse order: a sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of random variables is known, and  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is defined to be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ . In this case, we call  $(\mathcal{F}_n)$  the natural  $\sigma$ -algebras for

$(X_n)$ . Note that  $F_\infty$  is countably generated in this case.

A stopping time of  $(F_n)$  is a function  $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\{\omega: \sigma(\omega) = n\} \in F_n$  for all  $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ . We will write  $\Sigma$  or  $\Sigma((F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$  for the set of all stopping times.

We will often extend the probability space  $(\Omega, F, P)$  to a larger one, namely  $(\Omega \times [0,1], F \times \mathcal{B}, P \times \lambda)$ . A random variable  $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  corresponds to a random variable  $\tilde{X}: \Omega \times [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\tilde{X}(\omega, v) = X(\omega)$ ; normally we will write  $X$  for both cases. The notation  $E$  for expectation will be used both for  $\int \dots dP$  and for  $\iint \dots dP d\lambda$ . A randomized stopping time for  $(F_n)$  is simply a stopping time for the sequence  $(F_n \times \mathcal{B})$ . To every randomized stopping time  $\gamma: \Omega \times [0,1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  there corresponds a unique increasing rearrangement  $\tilde{\gamma}: \Omega \times [0,1] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$\lambda\{v: \gamma(\omega, v) = n\} = \lambda\{v: \tilde{\gamma}(\omega, v) = n\}$$

for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ , and such that for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the function  $\tilde{\gamma}(\omega, \cdot)$  is increasing and left-continuous. In most situations occurring in this paper, rearrangement with respect to the variable  $v$  will make no difference. For example, if  $(X_n)_{n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}}$  is adapted to

$(F_n)_{n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}}$  and  $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}$  are as above, then  $E(X_\gamma) = E(X_{\tilde{\gamma}})$ . We will write  $\Gamma$  or  $\Gamma((F_n)_{n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}})$  for the set of all randomized stopping times, increasing and left-continuous in the second variable.

Baxter and Chacon [1] have defined a useful topology for the set  $\Gamma$  of randomized stopping times. For completeness, that definition is repeated here for discrete time. (See Section 4, below, for a brief discussion of the continuous time case.) For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the  $\omega$ -distribution of  $\gamma$  is defined by

$$M(\omega, K) = \lambda\{v: \gamma(\omega, v) \in K\}$$

for  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $M$  has the following properties:

- (a) For fixed  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the function  $M(\omega, \cdot)$  is a probability measure on  $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ :
- (b) For fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function  $M(\cdot, \{n\})$  is  $F_n$ -measurable.

We will write  $\Gamma'$  for the set of all functions  $M$  satisfying (a) and (b). (In order to define an element  $M$  of  $\Gamma'$ , it suffices to define  $M(\omega, \{n\})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and add for other sets  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ , or to define  $M(\omega, \{1, \dots, n\})$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and subtract to obtain  $M(\omega, \{n\})$ .)

If  $M \in \Gamma'$  is given, we may conversely define a randomized stopping time  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  by

$$\gamma(\omega, v) = \inf\{n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}: M(\omega, \{1, \dots, n\}) \geq v\}.$$

Thus  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  are in one-to-one correspondence. Notice that  $M \in \Gamma'$  corresponds to a nonrandomized stopping time  $\sigma$  if and only if

$$M(\omega, \{n\}) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma(\omega) = n \\ 0, & \text{if } \sigma(\omega) \neq n. \end{cases}$$

The Baxter-Chacon topology is the coarsest topology on  $\Gamma'$  such that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $Y \in L^1(F)$ , the map  $M \rightarrow \int Y(\omega)M(\omega, \{n\})P(d\omega)$  is continuous. Thus, for sequences, this means that  $M_k$  converges to  $M$  in the Baxter-Chacon topology iff

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int Y(\omega)M_k(\omega, \{n\})P(d\omega) = \int Y(\omega)M(\omega, \{n\})P(d\omega)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $Y \in L^1(F)$ . We define the Baxter-Chacon topology on  $\Gamma$  via the bijection above. We write  $\gamma_k \rightarrow \gamma(BC)$  iff  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E(Y1_{\{n\}}(\gamma_k)) = E(Y1_{\{n\}}(\gamma))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $Y \in L^1(F)$ . (Of course, this is the topology induced on the set of randomized stopping times by a weak-star topology.) The usefulness of this topology is due largely to the following result of Baxter and Chacon [1]. For an early very general compactness argument see LeCam [16].

1.1. THEOREM. The set  $\Gamma$  of randomized stopping times is compact in the Baxter-Chacon topology. If  $F$  is countably generated, then  $\Gamma$  is metrizable, and therefore sequentially compact.

The set of all functions  $M$  such that

- (a) For each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $M(\omega, \cdot)$  is a signed measure on  $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ ;
- (b) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M(\cdot, \{n\})$  is  $F_n$ -measurable;
- (c) There is a constant  $C$  such that  $|M(\omega, k)| \leq C$  a.s. for all  $k \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ ;

is a topological vector space under the Baxter-Chacon topology. The set  $\Gamma'$  is a compact convex subset of it. The extreme points of  $\Gamma'$  are exactly the  $\omega$ -distributions of the nonrandomized stopping times. Each element of  $\Gamma'$  can be represented as a continuous average of these extreme points. This can be proved using Choquet's theorem, but it can also be deduced from the equation

$$(1.2) \quad M = \int_0^1 M_{\gamma_0}(\cdot, v) dv,$$

where  $M \in \Gamma'$  corresponds to  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ , and for each  $v \in [0,1]$ , we write  $M_{\gamma_0}(\cdot, v)$  for the  $\omega$ -distribution of the nonrandomized stopping time  $\omega \mapsto \gamma_0(\omega, v)$ . Equation (1.2) can be interpreted to mean

$$(1.3) \quad M(\omega, K) = \int_0^1 M_{\gamma_0}(\cdot, v)(\omega, K) dv$$

for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ . It follows from this that

$$(1.4) \quad E(X_{\gamma_0}) = \int_0^1 E[X_{\gamma_0}(\cdot, v)] dv$$

for any adapted sequence  $(X_n)_{n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}}$  for which the right-hand side exists.

This equation can be used to "derandomize" optimal stopping times.

1.5. PROPOSITION. Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}}}$  be adapted to  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then

$$\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} E(X_\gamma) = \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} E(X_\sigma),$$

and if one of the suprema is achieved and finite, so is the other.

Proof. Write

$$V = \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} E(X_\sigma).$$

Assume that  $V < \infty$ . Suppose there exists  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  with  $E(X_{\gamma_0}) \geq V$ . Then from (1.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V &\leq E(X_{\gamma_0}) = \int_0^1 E(X_{\gamma_0}(\cdot, v)) dv \\ &\leq \int_0^1 V dv = V. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $E(X_{\gamma_0}(\cdot, v)) = V$  for almost all  $v \in [0,1]$ , and hence for at least one  $v$ . But then we have  $E(X_{\gamma_0}) \leq V$  for all  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ , and if  $\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} E(X_\gamma)$  is achieved, so is  $\sup_{\alpha \in \Sigma} E(X_\alpha)$ .

1.6. COROLLARY. If there exists  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ , finite a.s., with

$$E(X_{\gamma_0}) = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} E(X_\gamma) = V,$$

then there also exists  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$ , finite a.s., with

$$E(X_{\sigma_0}) = V.$$

Proof. Represent  $\gamma_0$  as in (1.2). Then, for almost all  $\omega$ ,  $0 = \lambda\{v: \gamma_0(\omega, v) = \infty\}$ . So there exists  $v$  with both  $P\{\gamma_0(\cdot, v) < \infty\} = 1$  and  $E(X_{\gamma_0}(\cdot, v)) = V$ .

For a derandomization in the vector-valued case, we use Jensen's inequality in a Banach space  $B$ .

1.7. THEOREM. Let  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an adapted sequence of Bochner integrable random variables in a Banach space  $B$ , and let  $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and convex. Then

$$\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \phi(E(X_\gamma)) = \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \phi(E(X_\sigma)),$$

and if one of the suprema is achieved and finite, so is the other. If this supremum is achieved by  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  which is finite a.s., then it is also achieved by  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$  which is finite a.s.

Proof. Write

$$V_\phi = \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \phi(E(X_\sigma)).$$

Assume  $V_\phi < \infty$ . Suppose  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  and  $\phi(E(X_{\gamma_0})) \geq V_\phi$ . Represent  $\gamma_0$  as in (1.4). Then

$$\begin{aligned} V_\phi \leq \phi(E(X_{\gamma_0})) &= \phi\left(\int_0^1 E(X_{\gamma_0}(\cdot, v)) dv\right) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \phi(E(X_{\gamma_0}(\cdot, v))) dv \\ &\leq \int_0^1 V_\phi dv = V_\phi, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\phi(E(X_{\gamma_0}(\cdot, v))) = V_\phi$  for almost all  $v$ . The rest of the proof is as before.

2. Optimal stopping time: general case. In this section we study the optimization of  $\phi(EX_\tau)$ , where  $(X_n)$  is a Banach-valued process, and  $\phi$  is a real-valued continuous convex function defined on the Banach space (e.g., the norm). Also conditions are given for the convergence of Banach-valued stopped processes  $X_{\gamma_n}$ , when  $\gamma_n$

are randomized stopping times converging in the Baxter-Chacon topology.  $B$  will denote a Banach space with norm  $\| \cdot \|$ .

2.1. LEMMA. Let  $(\gamma_n)$  be a sequence of randomized stopping times that converges to a randomized stopping time  $\gamma$  in the Baxter-Chacon topology. Then for every Bochner integrable random variable  $Y$ , and for every function  $f$  continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $E[l_A Y f(\gamma_n)]$  converges strongly to  $E[l_A Y f(\gamma)]$ .

Proof. Fix  $f \in C(\overline{\mathbb{N}})$ , and first suppose that  $Y$  is a step-function, i.e.,  $Y = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} x_i l_{A_i}$ , where  $x_i \in B$ , and  $A_i \in F$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then for any  $\eta \in \Gamma$ , we have

$$E Y f(\eta) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} x_i E[l_{A_i} f(\eta)].$$

By the definition of the Baxter-Chacon topology on  $\Gamma$ , the sequence  $E[l_{A_i} f(\gamma_n)]$  converges to  $E[l_{A_i} f(\gamma)]$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and hence the announced strong convergence holds for step-functions. Now let  $Y$  be a general Bochner integrable random variable. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and let  $Z$  be a step-function such that  $E \|Y - Z\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Then for every  $\eta \in \Gamma$  we have

$$\|E[Yf(\eta)] - E[Zf(\eta)]\| \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_\infty.$$

Now apply this inequality with  $\eta = \gamma_n$ , and  $\eta = \gamma$ .

Let  $(X_n, n \geq 1)$  and  $X$  be  $B$ -valued random variables, and let  $A \in F$ . We say that  $X_n$  converges to  $X$  in distribution on  $A$ , in symbols  $X_n \Rightarrow X$  on  $A$ , if for every continuous and bounded real-valued function  $g$  defined on  $B$ ,  $E[l_A g(X_n)]$  converges to  $E[l_A g(X)]$ . The following proposition gives conditions for the convergence of the stopped process  $X_{\gamma_n}$  to  $X_\gamma$  if  $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma(BC)$ .

2.2. THEOREM. Let  $(\gamma_n)$  be a sequence of randomized stopping times that converges to  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  in the Baxter-Chacon topology. Let  $(B, \| \cdot \|)$  be a Banach space, and let  $(X_n, n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  be a  $B$ -valued Bochner integrable adapted process, such that  $X_n$  converges strongly almost surely to  $X_\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for every set  $A \in F$ ,  $X_{\gamma_n}$  converges in distribution to  $X_\gamma$  on  $A$ . If furthermore  $E(\sup \|X_n\|) < \infty$ ,

then for every set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $E(1_A X_{\gamma_n})$  converges strongly to  $E(1_A X_\gamma)$ .

Proof. We prove the second part of the theorem first. Suppose that  $E(\sup \|X_n\|) < \infty$ . Fix  $K > 1$ ; for every set  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and for every  $n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(1_A X_{\gamma_n}) - E(1_A X_\gamma)\| &\leq \|E(1_A \cap \{\gamma_n \leq K\} X_{\gamma_n}) - E(1_A \cap \{\gamma \leq K\} X_\gamma)\| \\ &+ \|E(1_A \cap \{\gamma_n > K\} X_{\gamma_n}) - E(1_A \cap \{\gamma > K\} X_\gamma)\| \\ &+ \|E[1_A \cap \{K < \gamma_n < \infty\} (X_{\gamma_n} - X_\gamma)]\| + \|E[1_A \cap \{K < \gamma < \infty\} (X_\gamma - X_\infty)]\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(1_A X_{\gamma_n}) - E(1_A X_\gamma)\| &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq K} \|E[1_A X_i 1_{\{i\}}(\gamma_n)] - E[1_A X_i 1_{\{i\}}(\gamma)]\| \\ &+ \|E[1_A X_\infty 1_{[K+1, \infty]}(\gamma_n)] - E[1_A X_\infty 1_{[K+1, \infty]}(\gamma)]\| \\ &+ 2E[1_A \sup_{K < i < \infty} \|X_i - X_\infty\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X_n$  converges strongly to  $X_\infty$  a.s. on  $A$ , the sequence

$1_A \sup_{K < i < \infty} \|X_i - X_\infty\|$ , dominated by  $\sup \|X_n\|$ , converges to zero a.s.

as  $K \rightarrow \infty$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and choose  $K$  such that  $E[1_A \sup_{K < i < \infty} \|X_i - X_\infty\|] < \varepsilon$ .

Then applying Lemma 2.1 with  $Y = 1_A X_i$ ,  $f = 1_{\{i\}}$ , and with  $Y = 1_A X_\infty$ ,  $f = 1_{[K+1, \infty]}$ , one can choose  $n_0$  such that for every  $i = 1, \dots, K$ , one has

$$\sup_{n \geq n_0} \|E[1_A X_i 1_{\{i\}}(\gamma_n)] - E[1_A X_i 1_{\{i\}}(\gamma)]\| \leq \varepsilon/K,$$

and also

$$\sup_{n \geq n_0} \|E[1_A X_\infty 1_{[K+1, \infty]}(\gamma_n)] - E[1_A X_\infty 1_{[K+1, \infty]}(\gamma)]\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Then  $n \geq n_0$  implies  $\|E(1_A X_{\gamma_n}) - E(1_A X_\gamma)\| \leq 4\varepsilon$ , which proves the second statement in the proposition.

We now prove the first assertion of the theorem. Let  $g$  be a continuous bounded function from  $B$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $Z_n = g(X_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The real-valued process  $(Z_n)$  clearly satisfied the two assumptions  $Z_n \rightarrow Z_\infty$  a.s., and  $E(\sup |Z_n|) < \infty$ . Hence by the first argument, for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $E[1_A g(X_{\gamma_n})]$  converges to  $E[1_A g(X_\gamma)]$ . This completes the proof.



An example of a process  $(X_n)$  satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 is an  $L_1$ -bounded martingale with values in a Banach space  $B$  with the Radon-Nikodym Property.

2.3. COROLLARY. Let  $(B, \| \cdot \|)$  be a Banach space, let  $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function. Let  $(X_n: n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  be a  $B$ -valued adapted process such that  $E(\sup \|X_n\|) < \infty$ , and such that  $X_n$  converges strongly almost surely to  $X_\infty$ . Then there exists a randomized stopping time  $\gamma$  such that

$$\phi(EX_\gamma) = V_\phi = \sup\{\phi(EX_\eta): \eta \in \Gamma\} < \infty.$$

Proof. Since only countably many Bochner integrable random variables are involved, we may assume that  $F$  is countably generated. Choose a sequence  $\gamma_n$  in  $\Gamma$  such that  $\lim \phi(EX_{\gamma_n}) = V_\phi$ . Since the set  $\Gamma$  is sequentially compact for the Baxter-Chacon topology, there exists a subsequence  $(\gamma_{n_k})$  of  $(\gamma_n)$ , and a  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma_{n_k} \rightarrow \gamma(BC)$ . By Proposition 2.2, the sequence  $EX_{\gamma_{n_k}}$  converges strongly to  $EX_\gamma$ ; the continuity of  $\phi$  implies that  $\phi(EX_{\gamma_n}) \rightarrow \phi(EX_\gamma) = V_\phi < \infty$ .

Using the results in Section 1, we obtain the existence of non-randomized optimal stopping times if  $\phi$  is convex.

2.4. THEOREM. Let  $(B, \| \cdot \|)$  be a Banach space and let  $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex continuous function. Let  $(X_n: n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  be a  $B$ -valued process such that  $E(\sup \|X_n\|) < \infty$ , and  $X_n$  converges strongly a.s. to  $X_\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists a nonrandomized stopping time  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi(EX_\sigma) &= V_\phi = \sup\{\phi(EX_\tau): \tau \in \Sigma\} \\ &= \sup\{\phi(EX_\gamma): \gamma \in \Gamma\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since the function  $\phi$  is continuous, Corollary 2.3 insures the existence of an optimal randomized stopping time  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . By Theorem 1.7, the convexity of  $\phi$  insures that  $\sup\{\phi(EX_\tau): \tau \in \Sigma\} = \sup\{\phi(EX_\gamma): \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ , and that there exists a nonrandomized stopping time  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that  $V_\phi = \phi(EX_\sigma)$ .

We now give examples of processes  $(X_n: n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  and functions  $\phi$

that satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.4. Recall that if  $(Y_n: n \in \mathbb{N})$  is a stationary B-valued process with  $E \|Y_1\| < \infty$ , then by E. Mourier's ergodic theorem [20], the Cesaro averages

$X_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i < n} Y_i$  converge strongly a.s. to a random variable  $X_\infty$

with  $EX_\infty = EY_1$ .

2.6. THEOREM. Let  $(B, \| \cdot \|)$  be a Banach space, and let  $(Y_n)$  be a B-valued stationary stochastic process with  $E(\|Y_1\| \log^+ \|Y_1\|) < \infty$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $X_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i < n} Y_i$ , and let  $X_\infty$  be the almost sure limit of  $X_n$ . Then given any continuous convex function  $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a nonrandomized stopping time  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad \phi(EX_\sigma) = V_\phi = \sup\{\phi(EX_\tau): \tau \in \Sigma\} < \infty.$$

Proof. By Wiener's dominated ergodic theorem applied to the real-valued stationary process  $\|Y_n\|$ , we have  $\sup \|X_n\| \leq \sup \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 < i < n} \|Y_i\| \in L_1$  (see e.g. [10], p. 678). Now apply Mourier's theorem and Theorem 2.4.

2.8. COROLLARY. Let  $(Y_n, n \in \mathbb{N})$ , and  $(X_n: n \in \overline{\mathbb{N}})$  be as in Theorem 2.6. Given any convex set  $C \subset B$ , and for every  $x \in B$ , let  $\phi(x)$  denote the distance between  $x$  and  $C$ . Then there exists an optimal stopping time for  $\phi$ , i.e., an element  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that (2.7) is satisfied for  $\phi$ .

Proof. It suffices to notice that the distance between  $x$  and a convex set is a continuous, convex, real-valued function.

The corollary shows in particular that there exists an optimal stopping time for the norm of the Cesaro averages  $X_n$  of a stationary,  $L \log L$ -bounded process taking values in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The following example implies that there does not exist a stopping time  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  optimal for the  $X_n$ ; i.e., such that  $EX_\sigma = \sup\{EX_\tau: \tau \in \Sigma\}$ , even in the case where the  $Y_i$ 's are independent, identically distributed, positive and bounded. (The supremum is to be taken in the coordinate-wise ordering of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .) This example also shows that the stopping times  $\sigma$  such that  $\|EX_\sigma\| = V_{\|\cdot\|}$  depend on the choice of the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and that given a norm, the optimal stopping times for  $\|EX_\sigma\|$  and for  $E\|X_\sigma\|$  need not be the same.

2.9. Example. Let  $(A_n)$  be a sequence of independent events each of probability  $1/2$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$Y_n = (1,0)1_{A_n} + (0,1)1_{A_n^c}, \quad X_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Y_i,$$

and let  $X_\infty = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  be the a.s. limit of  $X_n$ . For every randomized stopping time  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and for every  $(\omega, \nu) \in \Omega \times [0,1]$ ,

$X_\gamma(\omega, \nu) = (a_\gamma(\omega, \nu), b_\gamma(\omega, \nu))$ , with  $a_\gamma(\omega, \nu) + b_\gamma(\omega, \nu) = 1$ . Hence if  $EX_\gamma = (x_\gamma, y_\gamma)$ , then  $x_\gamma + y_\gamma = 1$ . However, let  $\sigma_1$  [resp.,  $\sigma_2$ ] be the a.s. finite stopping time defined by  $\sigma_1(\omega) = \inf\{n: \omega \in A_n\}$  [resp.,  $\sigma_2(\omega) = \inf\{n: \omega \in A_n^c\}$ ]. Then

$$[X_{\sigma_1}]_1 = 1_{A_1} + \frac{1}{2} 1_{A_1^c \cap A_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p} 1_{A_1^c \cap \dots \cap A_{p-1}^c \cap A_p} + \dots, \quad \text{and}$$

$EX_{\sigma_1} = (x_{\sigma_1}, y_{\sigma_1})$  with  $x_{\sigma_1} = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{i} (\frac{1}{2})^i > \frac{5}{8}$ . A similar computation

shows that  $EX_{\sigma_2} = (x_{\sigma_2}, y_{\sigma_2})$  with  $y_{\sigma_2} > \frac{5}{8}$ . Hence

$\sup\{EX_\sigma: \sigma \in \Sigma, \sigma \text{ finite a.s.}\} > (\frac{5}{8}, \frac{5}{8})$ , and this supremum cannot be achieved by a randomized stopping time. Now set  $\|(x,y)\|_1 = |x| + |y|$  and  $\|(x,y)\|_\infty = \sup(|x|, |y|)$ . Then for  $i = 1, \infty$ , and for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\|EX_\gamma\|_i \leq E(\|X_\tau\|_i) \leq 1$ . By the argument given above, for every stopping time  $\tau \in \Sigma$ ,  $\|EX_\tau\|_1 = E\|X_\tau\|_1 = 1$ . Clearly  $E\|X_1\|_\infty = 1$ ,  $\|EX_1\|_\infty = \frac{1}{2}$ , and if  $\sigma_1$  is the stopping time defined above then  $\|EX_{\sigma_1}\|_\infty > \frac{5}{8}$ . Hence  $\sigma = 1$  is optimal for  $\|\cdot\|_1$ , but not for  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ .

The example shows that for a Banach lattice  $B$  there need not exist an optimal stopping time. However, for a large class of lattices there exists a maximal stopping time for  $B^+$ .

2.10. COROLLARY. Let  $B$  be a Banach lattice such that for any  $x, y \in B^+$ ,  $x < y$  implies  $\|x\| < \|y\|$  ( $L_p$ -spaces have this property if  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). Then under the conditions of Theorem 2.4, and assuming also the process positive, there exists a maximal stopping time  $\sigma$ ; i.e.,  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that for every  $\tau \in \Sigma$ , the inequality  $EX_\sigma < EX_\tau$  fails.

Proof. Set  $\phi(x) = \|x\|$ , and let  $\sigma$  be an optimal stopping time

for  $\phi$ , i.e., suppose that (2.5) holds. For any  $\tau \in \Sigma$ , the inequality  $EX_\sigma < EX_\tau$  implies  $\|EX_\sigma\| < \|EX_\tau\|$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\sigma$  is maximal.

We show that if the process  $(X_n)$  takes values in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , one can weaken the assumption that  $X_n$  converges a.s. and obtain a result similar to Proposition 2.2. The case of  $\mathbb{R}^p$  can be also reduced to the case of  $\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{R}$  by consideration of linear functionals, but the proof given below is more in the spirit of the present paper.

2.11. PROPOSITION. Let  $(\gamma_n)$  be a sequence of randomized stopping times that converges to a randomized stopping time  $\gamma$  in the Baxter-Chacon topology. Let  $(X_n: n \in \mathbb{N})$  be a stochastic process taking values in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , and let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . Suppose that

(i)  $X_\infty \geq \overline{\lim} X_n$  on  $A$ , and  $1_A X_\infty$  is integrable,

(ii)  $\sup(1_A X_n^+)$  is integrable,

(iii)  $\sup E(1_A X_n^-) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ,

(iv)  $E(1_A X_\gamma^-) \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .

Then  $E(1_A X_\gamma) \geq \overline{\lim} E(1_A X_{\gamma_n})$ .

Proof. The sequences  $\sup_{k < i < \infty} (1_A X_i)$  decreases to  $1_A \overline{\lim} X_n$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and is bounded from above by  $1_A \sup X_n^+ \in L_1$ , and from below by  $(1_A \overline{\lim} X_n)^-$ , which is an integrable function by assumption (iii).

Hence applying the dominated convergence theorem, we obtain  $E(1_A \overline{\lim} X_n) = \lim_k E[1_A \sup_{k < i < \infty} X_i]$ . For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ , set

$\|x\| = \sup\{|x_j|: 1 \leq j \leq p\}$ , and let  $u = (1, \dots, 1)$  be the unit vector of  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; choose  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $P \times \lambda(B) < \alpha$  implies  $E[1_{A \cap B} \|X_\gamma^-\|] < \varepsilon$ , and  $E[1_{A \cap B} \|X_\infty\|] < \varepsilon$ . Choose  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $P \times \lambda\{K < \gamma < \infty\} < \alpha$ , and such that

$$E[1_A \sup_{K < i < \infty} X_i] \leq E[1_A \overline{\lim} X_n] + \varepsilon u.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(1_A X_\gamma) &= E(1_{A \cap \{\gamma \leq K\}} X_\gamma) + E(1_{A \cap \{K < \gamma < \infty\}} X_\gamma) + E(1_{A \cap \{\gamma = \infty\}} X_\infty) \\ &\geq E(1_{A \cap \{\gamma \leq K\}} X_\gamma) - \varepsilon u + E(1_{A \cap \{K < \gamma < \infty\}} X_\infty) - \varepsilon u + E(1_{A \cap \{\gamma = \infty\}} X_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 with  $Y = 1_A X_i$ ,  $f = 1_{\{i\}}$ , and with  $Y = 1_A X_\infty$ ,  $f = 1_{[K+1, \infty]}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(1_A X_\gamma) &\geq \lim_n E(1_{A \cap \{\gamma_n \leq K\}} X_{\gamma_n}) + \lim E(1_{A \cap \{\gamma_n > K\}} X_\infty) - 2\epsilon u \\
 &\geq \overline{\text{Lim}}\{E(1_{A \cap \{\gamma_n \leq K\}} X_{\gamma_n}) + E(1_{A \cap \{\gamma_n = \infty\}} X_{\gamma_n}) \\
 &\quad + E(1_{A \cap \{K < \gamma_n < \infty\}} \overline{\text{Lim}} X_k)\} - 2\epsilon u \\
 &\geq \overline{\text{Lim}}\{E(1_{A \cap \{\gamma_n \leq K\} \cup \{\gamma_n = \infty\}} X_{\gamma_n}) \\
 &\quad + E(1_{A \cap \{K < \gamma_n < \infty\}} \sup_{K < i < \infty} X_i)\} - 3\epsilon u \\
 &\geq \overline{\text{Lim}} E(1_A X_{\gamma_n}) - 3\epsilon u.
 \end{aligned}$$

2.12. THEOREM. Let  $(X_n; n \in \mathbb{N})$  be a stochastic process taking values in  $\mathbb{R}^p$ , and such that  $E(\sup_n \sum_{i=1}^p |(X_n)_i|) < \infty$ , and  $X_\infty \geq \overline{\text{Lim}} X_n$ . Let  $\phi$  be an increasing continuous function defined on the closed convex hull of  $\cup \{X_n(\Omega); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then there exists an optimal randomized stopping time  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that

$$\phi(EX_\gamma) = V_\phi = \sup\{\phi(EX_\eta); \eta \in \Gamma\}.$$

If in addition  $\phi$  is assumed to be convex, then the optimal time can be chosen non-random, i.e., there exists  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\phi(EX_\sigma) = V_\phi = \sup\{\phi(EX_\tau); \tau \in \Sigma\} = \sup\{\phi(EX_\gamma); \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

Proof. Let  $(\gamma_n)$  be a sequence in  $\Gamma$  such that

$V_\phi = \lim \phi(EX_{\gamma_n}) = \sup\{\phi(EX_\eta); \eta \in \Gamma\}$ . Let  $(\gamma_{n_k})$  be a subsequence of  $(\gamma_n)$  that converges to an element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  in the Baxter-Chacon topology. Then by Proposition 2.11,  $E(X_\gamma) \geq \overline{\text{Lim}} E(X_{\gamma_{n_k}})$ . The monotonicity and continuity of  $\phi$  implies that

$$\phi(EX_\gamma) \geq \phi(\overline{\text{Lim}} EX_{\gamma_{n_k}}) \geq \overline{\text{Lim}} \phi(EX_{\gamma_{n_k}}) = V_\phi.$$

If  $\phi$  is convex, then Theorem 1.7 shows that there also exists an optimal non-random stopping time  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ .

Finally we show that there exists a finite optimal stopping time for the process  $X_n = \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} Y_i \right\|$ , where  $Y_i$  is a Banach-valued independent identically distributed sequence and  $\|Y_1\| \in L_p$ ,  $p > 1$ , thus generalizing the result of B. Davis [8] to Banach-valued processes. For this the condition  $E(\|Y_1\| \log^+ \|Y_1\|) < \infty$  is not sufficient even in the real-valued case, as shown by M. Klass [14].

2.13. THEOREM. Let  $B$  be a Banach space, and let  $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function such that  $\phi(x+y) \leq \phi(x) + \phi(y)$ , and  $\phi(\alpha x) = \alpha\phi(x)$  for every  $\alpha \geq 0$ , and for every  $x, y \in B$ . Let  $(Y_n: n \in \mathbb{N})$  be an independent identically distributed  $B$ -valued sequence of random variables with mean 0, and with  $E\|Y_1\|^p < \infty$  for some  $p > 1$ . For every  $n \geq 1$  let  $X_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \leq n} Y_i$ , and let  $X_\infty = 0$ . Then every stopping time  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  such that  $\phi[EX_\alpha] = V_\phi = \sup\{\phi(EX_\tau): \tau \in \Sigma\}$  satisfies  $P(\sigma < \infty) = 1$ . Hence there exists an a.s. finite stopping time  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\phi(EX_\sigma) = V_\phi$ .

Proof. The assumptions made on  $\phi$  clearly imply the convexity of  $\phi$ . Hence by Theorem 2.6 there exists  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that  $\phi(EX_\sigma) = V_\phi$ . It suffices to show that any such  $\sigma$  is finite a.s. Let  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  satisfy  $\phi(EX_\sigma) = V_\phi$ , and let  $x = EX_\sigma$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists a linear functional  $x^*$  on  $B$  such that  $x^*(x) = \phi(x)$ , and  $-\phi(-y) \leq x^*(y) \leq \phi(y)$  for every  $y \in B$ . The continuity of  $\phi$  clearly implies  $t$ -e continuity of  $x^*$ . For every  $\tau \in \Sigma$ , we have

$$E[x^*(X_\tau)] = x^*[EX_\tau] \leq \phi[EX_\tau] \leq V_\phi.$$

Since  $E[x^*(X_\sigma)] = x^*(x) = \phi(x) = V_\phi$ , the stopping time  $\sigma$  is optimal for the real-valued process  $(x^*(X_n))$ . Set  $Z_n = x^*(Y_n)$ ; since  $x^*$  is continuous, and  $E(\|Y_1\|^p) < \infty$ , we have  $E|Z_n|^p < \infty$ . Now  $x^*(X_n)$  are Cesaro averages of the real-valued process  $Z_n$ . Since B. Davis [8] has proved that an optimal stopping time  $\sigma$  for such a process  $(x^*(X_n))$  is finite a.s., the proof is concluded.

3. Stopping rules under a weak independence assumption. In this section we introduce a condition (I) weaker than independence, and show that under (I) a stationary Banach-valued process  $(Y_n)$  is of class L Log L if and only if for every stopping time  $\sigma$ ,

$E(1_{\{\sigma < \infty\}} \frac{\|Y_\sigma\|}{\sigma}) < \infty$ . A similar characterization is obtained in terms of the Cesaro averages.

Let  $(A_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a family of  $\sigma$ -algebras; typically  $A_n$  is generated by a single random variable  $Y_n$ . Let  $F_n = \sigma(\cup_{i < n} A_i)$ .

We say that  $(A_n)$  [or  $(F_n)$ ] satisfies the condition (I) if there exists a strictly increasing sequence of integers  $(N_k)_{k \geq 1}$ , and a constant  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , such that

$$(3.1) \quad \overline{\lim} \frac{N_k}{k} < \infty,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \forall k \geq 1, \quad \forall A \in F_{N_k}, \quad \forall C \in A_{N_{k+1}},$$

$$|P(A \cap C) - P(A)P(C)| \leq \alpha P(A)P(C).$$

The condition (I) is a weakening of the (\*)-mixing condition introduced by Blum-Hanson-Koopmans [4]; see also [23], p. 140. We refer to [4] for examples. In the case when the  $A_n$  are atomic and  $N_k = k$ , the condition (I) coincides with the Vitali-Chow condition (see e.g. Neveu [21], p. 73).

If  $(A_n)$  satisfied the condition (I), then for every Banach space  $(B, \| \cdot \|)$  we have

$$(3.3) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall k \geq 2, \quad \forall X \in L_1^B(F_{N_{k-1}}), \quad \forall D \in A_{N_k} \\ \|E(1_D X) - P(D)E(X)\| \leq \alpha P(D)E \|X\| \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall k \geq 2, \quad \forall A \in F_{N_{k-1}}, \quad \forall X \in L_1^B(A_{N_k}), \\ \|E(1_A X) - P(A)E(X)\| \leq \alpha P(A)E \|X\|. \end{array} \right.$$

We only show the assertion 3.3. Let  $X$  be an  $F_{N_k}$ -measurable

step function, say  $X = \sum_{i \leq n} x_i 1_{A_i}$ , with  $x_i \in B$ ,  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}_{N_{k-1}}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and let  $D \in \mathcal{A}_{N_k}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(1_D X) - P(D)E(X)\| &\leq \sum_{i \leq n} \|x_i\| |P(A_i \cap D) - P(A_i)P(D)| \\ &\leq \alpha \sum_{i \leq n} \|x_i\| P(A_i)P(D) = \alpha P(D)E \|X\|. \end{aligned}$$

Fix  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $X \in L_1^B(\mathcal{F}_{N_{k-1}})$  and let  $Z$  be an  $\mathcal{F}_{N_{k-1}}$ -measurable step function with  $E \|X - Z\| < \epsilon$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(1_B X) - P(D)EX\| &\leq 2\epsilon + \|E(1_D Z) - P(D)EZ\| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon + \alpha P(D)E \|Z\| \leq 4\epsilon + \alpha P(D)E \|X\|. \end{aligned}$$

A process  $(Y_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is said to satisfy condition (I) if the family  $\mathcal{A}_n = \sigma(Y_n)$  does.

We prove next our main result on condition (I). If the  $Y_n$  are real-valued, independent, and identically distributed, the implications (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) are due to Burkholder [5]; the implications (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) and (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) to B. Davis [7] and independently to McCabe-Shepp [18].

**3.5. THEOREM.** Let  $B$  be a Banach space, and let  $(Y_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a stationary  $B$ -valued Bochner integrable process. Suppose that  $(Y_n)$  satisfies the condition (I) for an increasing sequence of integers  $(N_k)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $E(\|Y_1\| \text{Log}^+ \|Y_1\|) < \infty$ ;
- (ii)  $E(\sup_n \|\frac{Y_n}{n}\|) < \infty$ ;
- (iii)  $E \sup_n (\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \leq n} \|Y_i\|) < \infty$ ;
- (iv)  $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma, E(1_{\{\sigma < \infty\}} \frac{\|Y_\tau\|}{\tau}) < \infty$ ;
- (v)  $\forall \sigma \in \Sigma, E(1_{\{\sigma < \infty\}} \frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{N_k \leq \sigma} \|Y_{N_k}\|) < \infty$ .

Proof. By the pointwise ergodic theorem, the sequence  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \leq n} \|Y_i\|$  converges a.s., and hence  $\|\frac{Y_n}{n}\|$  converges a.s. to zero. By Wiener's dominated ergodic theorem applied to the process  $\|Y_n\|$ , (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)



(see e.g. [10], p. 678). Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{Y_n}{n} \right\| &= \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \leq n} Y_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \leq n-1} Y_i \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \leq n} Y_i \right\| + \left\| \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i \leq n-1} Y_i \right\|, \end{aligned}$$

(iii) implies (ii). Obviously (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv), and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v). We only prove (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), and (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Given  $\alpha$  with  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ , let  $(N_k)$  be the strictly increasing sequence such that  $A_n = \sigma(Y_n)$  satisfied the conditions (3.1) and (3.2).

Proof of (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; applying the pointwise ergodic theorem, choose  $N_i \geq 1$  and a set  $A \in F_\infty$  such that for every  $n \geq N_i$ , we have  $\|Y_n\| \leq n/2$  on  $A$ . By assumption (3.1), we also may assume that there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $N_k \leq ck$  for every  $k$ . Define a stopping time  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  by

$$\sigma = \inf\{N_k : N_k \geq N_i, \|Y_{N_k}\| \geq N_k\},$$

with the convention  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ . Clearly  $\sigma = +\infty$  on  $A$ . Given a process  $(X_n)$ , and a stopping time  $\tau \in \Sigma$ , we write  $EX_\tau$  for  $E(1_{\{\tau < \infty\}} X_\tau)$ . By assumption (iv),

$$\infty > E\left(\frac{\|Y_\sigma\|}{\sigma}\right) = \sum_{k \geq i} \frac{1}{N_k} \int_{\{\sigma = N_k\}} \|Y_{N_k}\| dP.$$

The set  $\{\sigma \geq N_k\} = \bigcap_{i \leq j \leq k-1} \{\|Y_{N_j}\| < N_j\}$  is  $F_{N_{k-1}}$  measurable.

Applying the relation (3.4) with the set  $A = \{\sigma \geq N_k\}$  and

$X = 1_{\{\|Y_{N_k}\| \geq N_k\}} \|Y_{N_k}\|$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> (1-\alpha) \sum_{k \geq i} \frac{1}{N_k} P(\sigma \geq N_k) E(1_{\{\|Y_{N_k}\| \geq N_k\}} \|Y_{N_k}\|) \\ &\geq (1-\alpha) \sum_{k \geq i} \frac{1}{N_k} P(A) E(1_{\{\|Y_1\| \geq N_k\}} \|Y_1\|). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha < 1$  and  $P(A) > 0$ , we have for fixed  $i$

$$\infty > \sum_{k \geq i} \frac{1}{N_k} \{ \|Y_1\| \geq N_k \} \|Y_1\| dP = \int_0^\infty x \left( \sum_{N_i \leq N_k \leq x} \frac{1}{N_k} \right) P \|Y_1\| (dx),$$

where  $P \|Y_1\|$  denotes the distribution of  $\|Y_1\|$ . We have that

$ck \leq x$  implies  $N_k \leq x$ . Hence if  $x \geq N_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{N_i \leq N_k \leq x} \frac{1}{N_k} &\geq \sum_{i \leq k \leq x/c} \frac{1}{ck} \geq \frac{1}{c} \int_i^{[x/c]+1} \frac{dx}{x} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{c} [\text{Log } x - \text{Log } ci]. \end{aligned}$$

This clearly implies that  $E[\|Y_1\| \text{Log}^+ \|Y_1\|] < \infty$ .

Proof of (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let  $\sigma$  be the stopping time defined in the proof above. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \left\| \sum_{N_k \leq \sigma} Y_{N_k} \right\| \right) &\geq E\left(\frac{\|Y_\sigma\|}{\sigma}\right) - E\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \left\| \sum_{N_{k+1} \leq \sigma} Y_{N_k} \right\| \right) \\ &\geq E\left(\frac{\|Y_\sigma\|}{\sigma}\right) - E\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{N_{k+1} \leq \sigma} \|Y_{N_k}\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the proof of (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), it suffices to show that  $E\left(\frac{\|Y_\sigma\|}{\sigma}\right) < \infty$ ,

and hence that  $E\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{N_{j+1} \leq \sigma} \|Y_{N_j}\| \right) < \infty$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{N_{j+1} \leq \sigma} \|Y_{N_j}\| \right) &= \sum_{k \geq i} \frac{1}{N_k} E\left(1_{\{\sigma=N_k\}} \sum_{j \leq k-1} \|Y_{N_j}\| \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k \geq i} \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} E\left(\|Y_{N_j}\| 1_{\{\|Y_{N_k}\| \geq N_k\}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the relation (3.3) with  $X = \|Y_{N_j}\|$ , which is measurable with

respect to  $F_{N_{k-1}}$ , and with  $D = \{\|Y_{N_k}\| \geq N_k\} \in A_{N_k}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \sum_{N_{k-1} \leq \sigma} \|Y_{N_k}\| \right) &\leq (1+\alpha) \sum_{k \geq i} \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k-1} E \|Y_{N_j}\| P(\|Y_{N_k}\| \geq N_k) \\ &\leq (1+\alpha) E \|Y_1\| \sum_{k \geq i} P(\|Y_1\| \geq N_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (1+\alpha)(E \|Y_1\|)^2 < \infty.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

The theorem of Davis [7] and McCabe-Shepp [18], and the theorem of Dvoretzky (see e.g.[6], p. 86) were extended to tactics on directed sets, in particular to stopping times in  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ , by Krengel-Sucheston [15], by application of their linear embedding theorem. Since, as noted in [16], the linear embedding preserves also vector-valued integrals, the results of the present paper concerning averages of vector-valued independent identically distributed random variables extend similarly to directed sets.

4. Continuous parameter. In this section we extend some results of Sections 1 and 2 to continuous-parameter processes. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space, and let  $(\mathcal{F}_t: t \in [0, \infty))$  be an increasing right-continuous family of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_0$  contains the null sets. A stopping time of  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is a map  $\sigma: \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that  $\{\omega: \sigma(\omega) \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$  for every  $t \in [0, \infty]$ ; we again write  $\Sigma$  for the set of all stopping times. A randomized stopping time for  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is a map  $\gamma: \Omega \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  which is a stopping time for  $(\mathcal{F}_t \times \mathcal{B})$ ; we assume that  $\gamma$  is non-decreasing and left-continuous in the second variable. We will write  $\Gamma$  for the set of randomized stopping times. For every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the  $\omega$ -distribution of  $\gamma$  is defined by

$$M(\omega, [0, t]) = \sup\{v: \gamma(\omega, v) \leq t\}$$

for  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $t \in [0, \infty]$ . Then  $M$  has the following properties:

- (a) For fixed  $\omega \in \Omega$ , the function  $M(\omega, \cdot)$  defines a probability measure on  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .
- (b) For fixed  $t \in [0, \infty]$ , the function  $M(\cdot, [0, t])$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable.

We will write  $\Gamma'$  for the set of all functions  $M$  satisfying (a) and (b). There is a one-to-one correspondence between  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  (see [1] for details). As in the discrete case,  $M \in \Gamma'$  corresponds to a nonrandomized stopping time  $\sigma$  if and only if  $M(\omega, [0, t]) = 1_{\{\sigma < t\}}(\omega)$ . The Baxter-Chacon topology on  $\Gamma$  is the coarsest topology such that for every  $Y \in L^1(\mathcal{F})$ , for every  $f \in C([0, \infty))$ , the map  $\gamma \rightarrow \int Y(\omega) f[\gamma(\omega, v)] P(d\omega) \lambda(dv)$  is continuous. Baxter and

Chacon have shown that the set  $\Gamma$  is compact for this topology ([1] Theorem 1.5).

The following theorem is analogous to a result used in Section 1.

4.1. THEOREM. The extreme points of  $\Gamma'$  are exactly the  $\omega$ -distributions of the nonrandomized stopping times.

In the sequel we will consider an adapted Banach-valued process  $(X_t, \mathcal{F}_t, t \in [0, \infty])$  such that

$$(4.2) \quad E(\sup \|X_t\|) < \infty,$$

$$(4.3) \quad X_t \text{ is right-continuous,}$$

$$(4.4) \quad \forall \sigma_n \in \Sigma, \sigma_n \nearrow \sigma \text{ implies } X_{\sigma_n} \rightarrow X_\sigma \text{ a.s.,}$$

For real-valued processes  $(X_t)$ , condition (4.4) is equivalent with quasi-left-continuity, and the property  $X_\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X_t$  a.s. The following theorem is an analog of (1.4), above.

4.5. THEOREM. Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be a randomized stopping time. If  $(X_t)$  is an adapted process satisfying the conditions (4.2) and (4.3), then

$$E(X_\gamma) = \int_0^1 E X_\gamma(\cdot, v) dv.$$

Proof. As in (1.2), we have

$$M_\gamma = \int_0^1 M_\gamma(\cdot, v) dv.$$

Then for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , for every  $t \in [0, \infty]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \int 1_A M_\gamma(\omega, [0, t]) P(d\omega) &= \int_0^1 \int 1_A(\omega) M_\gamma(\cdot, v)(\omega, [0, t]) P(d\omega) dv \\ &= \int 1_A(\omega) \lambda\{v: \gamma(\omega, v) \leq t\} P(d\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $M_\gamma(\omega, [0, t]) = \lambda\{v: \gamma(\omega, v) \leq t\}$  a.s. For every  $j \geq 1$ , let  $\gamma[j]$  be the smallest element  $k/2^j$  such that  $\gamma \leq k/2^j$ . Then for every  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
E(X_{\gamma[j]}) &= \int X_{2^{-j}}(\omega) M(\omega, [0, 2^{-j}]) P(d\omega) \\
&\quad + \int \sum_{k \geq 2} X_{k2^{-j}}(\omega) M(\omega, [(k-1)2^{-j}, k2^{-j}]) P(d\omega) \\
&= \int X_{2^{-j}}(\omega) \lambda\{\nu: \gamma(\omega, \nu) \leq 2^{-j}\} P(d\omega) \\
&\quad + \int \sum_{k \geq 2} X_{k2^{-j}}(\omega) \lambda\{\nu: (k-1)2^{-j} < \gamma(\omega, \nu) \leq k2^{-j}\} P(d\omega) \\
&= \int_0^1 E(X_{\gamma(\cdot, \nu)}[j]) d\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and using the properties (4.2) and (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E(X_{\gamma}) &= \lim_j E X_{\gamma[j]} = \lim \int_0^1 E(X_{\gamma(\cdot, \nu)}[j]) d\nu \\
&= \int_0^1 E(X_{\gamma(\cdot, \nu)}) d\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

The following theorem allows us to "derandomize" continuous-parameter optimal stopping times. The proof, similar to the proof of Theorem 1.7, is omitted.

4.6. THEOREM. Let  $B$  be a Banach space, let  $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous and convex function. Let  $(X_t)$  be a  $B$ -valued adapted process with the properties (4.2) and (4.3). Then

$$V_{\phi} = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \phi[E(X_{\gamma})] = \sup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \phi[E(X_{\sigma})],$$

and if one of these suprema is achieved and finite, so is the other one. If the supremum is achieved by  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  which is finite a.s., then it is also achieved by  $\sigma_0 \in \Sigma$  which is finite a.s.

We now study the convergence of the stopped sequence  $X_{\gamma_n}$ , when  $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$  (BC) and  $(X_t)$  is an adapted process with the Properties (4.2) - (4.4).

The following theorem is a generalization of Corollary 1.15 in [1], and the proof is similar.

4.7. THEOREM. Let  $B$  be a Banach space, let  $(X_t: t \in [0, \infty])$  be

a B-valued right-continuous, quasi-left continuous process such that  $E(\sup \|X_t\|) < \infty$ . Let  $\gamma_n$  be a sequence of randomized stopping times such that  $\gamma_n$  converges to a randomized stopping time  $\gamma$  in the Baxter-Chacon topology. Suppose that  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n P \times \lambda(\gamma_n > a) = 0$ . Then for every  $A \in F$ ,  $E(1_A X_{\gamma_n})$  converges to  $E(1_A X_\gamma)$ .

Proof. The proof of this theorem depends on several lemmas stated below.

4.8. LEMMA. Let  $(\gamma_n)$  be a sequence of randomized stopping times taking values in a finite set  $K \subset [0, \infty]$ , and converging (BC) to a randomized stopping time  $\gamma$ . Suppose that the random variables  $(X_t, t \in K)$  are Bochner integrable. Then

$$E(1_A X_{\gamma_n}) \rightarrow E(1_A X_\gamma), \quad A \in F.$$

Proof. The lemma is first proved for step functions  $(X_t: t \in K)$ . The proof is similar to the one in [1], Lemma 4.1.

For every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and every  $j \geq 1$ , let  $\gamma[j]$  be the  $j$ -th dyadic approximation of  $\gamma$  from above, i.e.,  $\gamma[j](\omega, v) = \inf\{k/2^j; k/2^j \geq \gamma(\omega, v)\}$  (with the convention  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$ ).

The following lemma is similar to Theorem 1.8 [1], and the proof is omitted.

4.9. LEMMA. Let  $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$  converge (BC) to  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and satisfy  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n P \times \lambda\{\gamma_n \geq a\} = 0$ . Let  $B$  be a Banach space, and let  $(X_t)$  be a B-valued right-continuous process such that  $E(\sup \|X_t\|) < \infty$ . Suppose that for every  $A \in F$

$$\lim_j E(1_A X_{\gamma_n[j]}) = E(1_A X_{\gamma_n}) \quad \text{uniformly in } n.$$

Then for every  $A \in F$ ,  $E(1_A X_\gamma) = \lim E(1_A X_{\gamma_n})$ .

The following lemma is similar to Lemma 5.1 [1]; again the proof is omitted.

4.10. LEMMA. Let  $(X_t)$  be a Banach valued process such that  $E(\sup \|X_t\|) < \infty$ . Suppose that for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , and for every

sequence  $(\sigma_n)$  in  $\Sigma$  such that  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n P(\sigma_n > a) = 0$ , one has  $\lim_j \sup_n P\{\|X_{\sigma_n[j]} - X_{\sigma_n}\| > \varepsilon\} = 0$ . Then for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , and for every sequence  $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$  such that  $\gamma_n \rightarrow \gamma$  (BC), and  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n P \times \lambda(\gamma_n > a) = 0$ , one has

$$\lim_j E(1_A X_{\gamma_n[j]}) = E(1_A X_{\gamma}) \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

Sketch of Proof of Theorem 4.7. Because of Lemmas 4.8-4.10 and the condition  $E(\sup \|X_t\|) < \infty$ , the proof of the theorem reduces to the proof of the following: for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and for every sequence  $(\sigma_n)$  in  $\Sigma$  such that  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n P(\sigma_n > a) = 0$ , one has  $\lim_j \sup_n P(\|X_{\sigma_n[j]} - X_{\sigma_n}\| > \varepsilon) = 0$ . This is proved via the arguments given in Lemma 5.9 and 5.11 in [1], setting  $f(x,y) = \|x-y\| / (1 + \|x-y\|)$ , and  $Y(s,t) = \sup\{f(X_s, X_r) : s \leq r \leq t\}$ .

4.11. COROLLARY. Let  $(\gamma_n)$  be a sequence of randomized stopping times such that  $\gamma_n$  converges to a randomized stopping time  $\gamma$  in the Baxter-Chacon topology. Let  $(X_t)$  be a Banach-valued process with the properties (4.2)-(4.4). Then for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $E(1_A X_\gamma) = \lim E(1_A X_{\gamma_n})$ , and  $X_{\gamma_n}$  converges in distribution to  $X_\gamma$  on  $A$ .

Proof. Let  $T: [0, \infty] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a continuous bijective and increasing function. For every  $s \in [0, 1]$ , set  $Y_s = X_{T^{-1}(s)}$  and  $G_s = F_{T^{-1}(s)}$ . For every  $s \geq 1$ , set  $Y_s = X_\infty$  and  $G_s = F_\infty$ . The

proof of the theorem reduces to the proof of the convergence of  $E(1_A Y_{T \circ \gamma_n})$  to  $E(1_A Y_{T \circ \gamma})$ . The process  $(Y_s)$  clearly satisfied the assumptions (4.2)-(4.4), and the sequence  $T \circ \gamma_n$  is bounded by 1, so that Theorem 4.7 applies.

We now deduce the following theorem about the existence of an optimal stopping time for  $\phi(EX_\sigma)$ . It is a continuous parameter analog of Theorem 2.4.

4.12. THEOREM. Let  $(B, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space, and let  $\phi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex continuous function. Let  $(X_t, t \in [0, \infty])$  be an adapted

process with the properties (4.2)-(4.4). Then there exists a non-randomized stopping time  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\text{EX}_\sigma) &= V_\phi = \sup\{\phi(\text{EX}_\tau) : \tau \in \Sigma\} \\ &= \sup\{\phi(\text{EX}_\gamma) : \gamma \in \Gamma\} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The theorem is a consequence of Theorem 4.6, and Corollary 4.11. We refer to the proof of Theorem 2.4 for details.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. R. Baxter and R. V. Chacon, Compactness of stopping times. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete 40(1977), 169-181.
- [2] J. R. Baxter and R. V. Chacon, Enlargement of  $\sigma$ -algebras and compactness of time changes, Canadian J. Math. 29(1977), 1055-1065.
- [3] A. Beck, On the strong law of large numbers, Ergodic Theory (Proc. International Sympos., Tulane Univ., New Orleans, La., 1961), Academic Press, New York (1961), 21-53.
- [4] J. R. Blum, D. L. Hanson, and L. H. Koopmans, On the strong law of large numbers for a class of stochastic processes, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 2(1963), 1-11.
- [5] D. L. Burkholder, Successive conditional expectations of an integrable function, Ann. Math. Stat. 33(1962), 887-893.
- [6] Y. S. Chow, H. Robbins, and D. Siegmund, Great expectations: The theory of optimal stopping, Boston: Houghton Mifflin Co. 1971.
- [7] B. Davis, Stopping rules for  $S_n/n$  and the class  $L \log L$ , Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 17(1971), 147-150.
- [8] B. Davis, Moments of random walk having infinite variance and the existence of certain optimal stopping rules for  $S_n/n$ , Illinois J. Math. 17(1973), 75-81.



- [9] C. Dellacherie, Convergence en probabilité et topologie de Baxter-Chacon, Université de Strasbourg, Séminaire de Probabilités, année 1976/7, Springer Verlag, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol 649, 1978.
- [10] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear Operators, Part I, Interscience, New York 1957.
- [11] W. Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications, Vol. II, Wiley, New York 1971.
- [12] R. F. Gundy, On the class  $L \log L$  martingales and singular integrals, *Studia Math.* 33(1969), 109-118.
- [13] J. L. Kelley, I. Namioka, et al, Linear Topological Spaces, Van Nostrand, Princeton 1963.
- [14] M. J. Klass, Properties of optimal extended-valued stopping rules for  $S_n/n$ , *Ann. Probability* 5(1973), 719-757.
- [15] U. Krengel and L. Sucheston, Stopping rules and tactics for processes indexed by directed sets, *J. Multivariate Analysis* 11(1981), 199-229.
- [16] L. LeCam, An extension of Wald's theory of statistical decision functions, *Ann. Math. Stat.* 26(1955), 69-81.
- [17] L. H. Loomis, Dilations and extremal measures, *Advances in Math.* 17(1975), 1-13.
- [18] B. J. McCabe and L. A. Shepp, On supremum of  $S_n/n$ , *Ann. Math. Stat.* 41(1970), 2166-2168.
- [19] P. A. Meyer, Convergence faible et compacité des temps d'arrêt d'après Baxter et Chacon, Université de Strasbourg, Séminaire de Probabilités, année 1976/7, Springer Verlag, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol 649, 1978.
- [20] E. Mourier, Les éléments aléatoires dans un espace de Banach, *Ann. Ins. Henri Poincaré* 13(1953), 161.
- [21] J. Neveu, Discrete Parameter Martingales, North Holland, Amsterdam 1975.
- [22] R. R. Phelps, Lectures on Choquet's theorem, Van Nostrand, New York 1966.
- [23] P. Révész, The laws of large numbers, Academic Press, New York and London 1968.

- [24] D. O. Siegmund, Some problems in the theory of optimal stopping, Ann. Math. Stat. 38(1967), 1627-1640.
- [25] J. L. Snell, Application of martingale system theorems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 13(1952), 293-312.

Department of Mathematics  
Ohio State University  
Columbus, Ohio 43210

Laboratoire du Calcul des Probabilités  
Université Paris-VI  
Tour 56, 4, Place Jussieu  
75230 Paris Cedex 05